

Appendix 1 - Responsible Financial Officers Opinion

1.1 The 2003 Local Government Act imposes a number of statutory duties on a Councils Responsible Financial Officer (RFO). Guidance on these duties is contained within LAAP Bulletin 55 and the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Finance Director, compliance with which has been supported by the Councils Audit Committee. The primary duties are for me, as RFO, to provide a view on the robustness of the budget process, budgetary risk and the adequacy of reserves and balances.

1.2 Robustness of the budget process

1.3 In terms of robustness of the budget process, I have placed reliance on the work carried out by members of the Strategic Leadership Team in their Directorates involving budget managers and devolved accountants. The process has been properly rigorous with notable elements of good practice. These include;

- The use of the Councils Medium Term Financial Plan as an integral part of budget planning
- Inclusion of all Councillors in two all-member budget seminars
- Cabinet ownership of budget principles and assumptions through the development of the Medium Term Financial Plan
- Anticipating likely and known events through the application of appropriate indices for base costs.
- Applying rigour via Directorate Management Teams, Chief Officers, Strategic Leadership Team and Cabinet Member scrutiny.
- Comparing year on year budgets by using 2012/13 outturn and 2013/14 budget monitoring data.
- Providing Select Committees with opportunity to look at and scrutinize current budgets, gaining an understanding of the budgets within the remit of their Select committee.
- Providing all Select committee members with the opportunity to scrutinize the budget as a whole through a special Joint Select Committee.
- Providing Select Committee with the assumptions underlying the Medium Term Financial Plan and the budget savings ideas at a much earlier stage in the process than in previous years.
- Engaging with the public at 5 events throughout the County in October, sharing the budget ideas and gathering further ideas from the public.

- Consulting on budget proposals with the Schools Forum and Trade Unions and Business Ratepayers.
- Providing opportunities for public consultation, via the web site, You Tube presentations and Twitter, but also through a further round of public engagement events throughout the County
- Communicating emerging Settlement considerations to Cabinet members.
- Being clear on risks and assumptions within budget proposals and identifying the links with the corporate priorities of the Council.
- Ensuring all members are involved in the budget setting process by establishing that budget and Council Tax settings is a function of full Council.

1.4 There are a number of explicit risks in the budget proposals now presented given the reduction in the settlement for next year and in the medium term. Risks have been identified as the budget proposals have been put together and are captured as part of the MTFP model. Outlined below are the key risks and how they are being managed:

- Some identification of evidenced based pressures in relation to the financial impact of increasing demand in children's social services, demographic changes such as increasing elderly population, changes in pupil numbers, increase in special educational need provision has been included in the current budget process. However, these risks have in part been mitigated by the protection given to these areas in the sense that budget savings have been more heavily weighted to other areas of the budget. However, given the current year position against the budget in some of these areas, this potential pressure will require careful monitoring over the course of the financial year so that problems can be highlighted early and any appropriate corrective action taken.
- Directorates are being required to manage some pressures within their service areas as only significant pressures have been highlighted and included in the budget build. Whilst individually these pressures are relatively small in total there is a considerable pressure to be managed alongside the achievement of the budget saving proposals contained as part of this budget. The new Council Tax Reduction Scheme and fixed level of funding associated with it, places all the risk of additional demand with its associated cost on the Authority.
- A number of the savings/efficiency proposals involve the generation of income, changes to current structures, systems and processes or have implications for service design involving other partners or organisations. These savings involve higher levels of risk than those which broadly maintain current arrangements. At the practical level these risks begin with the income targets not being achieved, possibility of slippage and disruption in the transition from old to new arrangements resulting in

further pressures to be managed in the year in which savings are budgeted to be made. Clearly robust and timely monitoring of the delivery of the savings in the budget will be critically important in order to manage the potential for these risks to materialize.

- Late notification of grant funding streams being removed or reduced. This is particularly an issue where the expenditure backing this grant is in the form of permanent staff. These will need to be managed on a case by case basis, with the default position being that if the grant ceases the activity also ceases unless a business case can be built that justifies the maintenance of the activity, the expenditure and identifies a means of funding this expenditure following the loss of grant income. There is also a particular risk in the area of the Outcome Agreement Grant where payment is dependent on performance, the budget assumes full payment however there is a risk that the required performance levels may not be achieved and therefore less than 100% payment provided.
- Underlying assumptions on pay and non-pay inflation built into the budget are not borne out next year. All budgets will not receive budget to cover the full extent of inflation factors next year if they turn out as expected. This puts further pressure on service budgets to find efficiencies savings to manage this shortfall. There is an expectation that this would need to be managed within overall directorate budgets.
- Further equal pay claims are lodged with the Authority, resulting in significant payout. A reserve was been established to fund the claims and payments already made. The Cabinet decision report on this however, did recognize that the option chosen will not completely extinguish the risk of further claims in this area.
- Prudential borrowing impact annually on the revenue account resulting from the Authority accepting any awards of 21st Century funding following further development of the detailed programme being submitted to the WG. The capital programme proposal seeks to mitigate this risk by establishing authority to generate capital receipts to offset the need to borrow, however this carries with it its own risk in the current economic climate.
- Treasury estimates established in the budget are based on cashflows, timing of capital spend, forecast interest rate levels and predicted trigger points for converting variable loans to fixed rate loans. There is inherent uncertainty and risk attached to each variable. The Authority has established a Treasury Equalisation reserve to mitigate the potential impact caused by significant variations to the year on year budget. Contributions from the reserve are used to even out the variation in the MTFP model.
- General pressures on school budgets indicated by the number of schools with deficit budget management plans. As previously

identified, schools have been protected from the level of savings required from the rest of the Authority. The LEA will be working closely with schools to help costs savings to be established. However, it is understood that some schools will still need to establish budget management plans, any resulting redundancies will need to be met from the budget established for this purpose.

- The risks on the capital side are largely around the difficulties in achieving the level of capital receipts required to fund future capital investment. If receipts are not achieved, other funding streams need to be sought which may include prudential borrowing with its consequent impact on the revenue budget. In addition there are significant pressures highlighted of a capital nature that will not be met as the priority is to invest in 21st century schools.

1.5 Whilst the above risks in the 2014/15 budget have been identified, the main budgetary risks going forward in for the MTFP will also need to be managed and outlined are as follow:

- Any impact arising from the Williams review, and the shape of Local government in Wales in the future and any new legislation impacting on the services provided by Local Authorities.
- Very low settlements projected for the medium term
- The revenue implications of 21st Century Schools, where the source of capital is uncertain given the medium term national forecast for significant funding reductions.
- The financial, service and strategic implications of service transformation, including shared services, greater partnership working with both public and private sector
- The national and local emphasis on increased waste diversion
- The deteriorating condition of local roads, associated infrastructure and property
- The ageing population
- Continued uncertainty in financial markets
- Low economic activity leading to increased demand for some services and reduced income in others.
- The potential liability of new equal pay c/aims arising from the implementation of single status

1.6 Adequacy of reserves

- 1.7 The MTFP has established the principles for general and earmarked reserve utilization. The level of the **general reserve** at £6.2 million is of concern being just above the minimum prudent level. The final revenue budget proposals include a requirement to use the general reserve to balance the budget in 2014/15. The report makes clear that this can be recommended by taking a medium term approach, noting that by setting a planning assumption around council tax increases, shortfalls and headroom can be accommodated by contingent use of reserve funding. As the MTFP is updated as new information becomes available the position regarding the use of reserves will need to be reviewed and where possible use of the general reserve reduced.
- 1.8 Included with the general reserve are the school based reserves. There has been a slight recovery over recent years with the result that Monmouthshire's schools based reserves are no longer the lowest in Wales.
- 1.9 The 2014/15 budget recommendations anticipate some use of **earmarked reserves** to support the budget saving proposals and to even out one off expenditure items over the MTFP. Earmarked reserves have been established over time for the purpose of future utilisation, and whilst not currently earmarked for use provide a level of contingency for some of the risks associated with the budget recommendations highlighted in this report.
- 1.10 Earmarked reserve usage over the MTFP is projected to decrease the balance on earmarked reserves from £15.5 million at the start of 2013/14 to £6.9 million at the end of 2017/18. Taking into account that some of these reserves are specific, for example relating to joint arrangements or to fund capital projects, this brings the usable balance down to £4 million.
- 1.11 Whilst every effort will be made to avoid redundancy costs a budget has been included in the MTFP relating to these costs is for school based redundancies, this is included as a pressure of £300,000. The Policy has also recently been revised, so the cost of redundancies should be reducing. Protection of Employment policy will be used to ensure redundancy is minimised, however, it is expected there may be some that are inevitable and reserve cover may be required for this, possibly in the region of £500,000 per year. Over the MTFP this could require £2 million reserve funding cover, if services are unable to fund the payments from their budgets.
- 1.12 The volatility of the Children's social services budget is going to be supplemented for 2014/15 with further funding, however if it is proposed to earmark the Priority Investment Reserve for next year to cover further pressures if they are not able to be contained.
- 1.13 The resulting impact on earmarked reserves would be to take the usable balance down further by the end of the MTFP period.

- 1.14 My judgement, taking into account the budget forecast at month 9, the corporate budget position, the quantum of earmarked reserves as well as the General Reserve is to certify reserves as adequate presently. However, given that the financial outlook is not set to improve significantly in the medium term, it is vital that the reserve position continues to be closely monitored. This will require continued sound budget management in future years of account and close Cabinet scrutiny of any further proposals to utilise reserves in the coming months. Further savings need to be identified so that the use of the general reserve can be minimized.
- 1.15 The provisional schedule of reserves estimated at the end of the financial year is included as an appendix to the budget report.

J Robson
Responsible Financial Officer